INVERSE SOURCE PROBLEMS FOR THE STOCHASTIC WAVE EQUATIONS: FAR-FIELD PATTERNS*

JIANLIANG LI[†], PEIJUN LI[‡], AND XU WANG[§]

Abstract. This paper addresses the direct and inverse source problems for the stochastic acoustic, biharmonic, electromagnetic, and elastic wave equations in a unified framework. The driven source is assumed to be a centered generalized microlocally isotropic Gaussian random field, whose covariance and relation operators are classical pseudodifferential operators. Given the random source, the direct problems are shown to be well-posed in the sense of distributions and the regularity of the solutions are given. For the inverse problems, we demonstrate by ergodicity that the principal symbols of the covariance and relation operators can be uniquely determined by a single realization of the far-field pattern averaged over the frequency band with probability one.

Key words. inverse source problem, stochastic wave equation, Gaussian random field, pseudodifferential operator, far-field pattern, uniqueness

MSC codes. 35R30, 35R60, 60H15

DOI. 10.1137/21M1467663

1. Introduction. Inverse scattering problems are used to determine the nature of scatterers from a knowledge of the wave field. They have played an essential role in many scientific areas such as radar and sonar, geophysical exploration, medical imaging, and nondestructive testing. These problems are challenging due to the illposedness and nonlinearity [10]. In many situations, it is desirable to describe the scatterer as a random field in order to handle uncertainties of the surrounding environment. Compared with deterministic counterparts, stochastic scattering problems have substantially more difficulties because of two additional obstacles: the scatterer is sometimes too rough to exist pointwisely and should be understood in the sense of distributions instead; the randomness makes it meaningless and impossible to characterize the scatterer by a particular realization. As a result, the statistics, such as mean and variance, of the random scatterer are used to quantify the uncertainties of the scatterer and are of more interest in stochastic inverse scattering problems. Recently, stochastic inverse scattering problems have attracted great attention, and many new results are available for various problems, such as random medium problems [1, 7, 26], random potential problems [8, 18, 22], random impedance problems [15], and random surface problems [5, 12, 16]. We refer to [11, 13] on related direct and inverse scattering problems for wave propagation in random environments. A good introduction to the statistical methods, especially Bayesian statistics, can be found in [17] on solving inverse problems involving uncertainties and randomness.

^{*}Received by the editors December 28, 2021; accepted for publication (in revised form) March 31, 2022; published electronically July 11, 2022.

https://doi.org/10.1137/21M1467663

Funding: The work of the first author was supported by the NNSF of China (12171057). The work of the second author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1912704. The work of the third author was supported by the NNSF of China (11971470 and 11871068).

[†]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, China (lijl@amss.ac. cn).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907 USA (lipeijun@ math.purdue.edu).

[§]LSEC, ICMSEC, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China, and School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China (wangxu@lsec.cc.ac.cn).

As an important research subject in inverse scattering theory, the inverse random source problem has been extensively studied. When the source is modeled by an additive white noise, the mean and standard deviation of the source can be reconstructed from the statistics of the wave field [2, 3, 6, 14, 21]. In these approaches, the near-field scattering data need to be measured for a fairly large number of realizations of the random source. Motivated by [18], a new model is developed for the random source, which is assumed to be a real-valued generalized microlocally isotropic Gaussian (GMIG) random field with its covariance operator being a classical pseudodifferential operator. It is shown that the principal symbol of the covariance operator can be uniquely determined by the amplitude of the near-field scattering data averaged over the frequency band, generated by a single realization of the random source; see [19, 24] for acoustic waves, [19, 20] for elastic waves, and [27] for biharmonic waves. The inverse random source problem for electromagnetic waves is considered in [25], where the source is modeled by a complex-valued centered GMIG random field whose real and imaginary parts are assumed to be independent and identically distributed, leading to the relation operator being zero. The uniqueness result states that the high frequency limit of the variation of the electric field can uniquely determine the principal symbol matrix of the covariance operator for the random source. Moreover, by means of ergodicity in the frequency domain, the amplitude of the electric field averaged over the frequency band, obtained from a single path of the random source, can uniquely determine the diagonal entries of the principal symbol matrix.

In this work, we intend to examine the direct and inverse source problems for the stochastic acoustic, biharmonic, electromagnetic, and elastic wave equations in a unified framework by using the far-field patterns. There are two main contributions:

- 1. the well-posedness of the direct problems are established for more general random sources;
- 2. the uniqueness of the inverse problems are obtained for both the covariance and relation operators.

Specifically, we consider the four commonly encountered wave equations, i.e., the Helmholtz equation, the biharmonic wave equation, Maxwell's equations, and the Navier equation. The driven source is assumed to be a complex-valued centered GMIG random field whose covariance operator and relation operator are classical pseudodifferential operators, which removes the limitation that the real and imaginary parts are independent and identically distributed. As is shown in the context, this type of source is too rough to exist pointwisely and should be understood as distributions. Given such rough sources, the direct problems are shown to be well-posed, and the regularity of the solutions is also obtained. For the inverse problems, we demonstrate that the principal symbol matrices of the covariance and relation operators can be uniquely determined by the high frequency limit of the correlation of the far-field pattern. Moreover, with the aid of ergodicity of the far-field pattern in the frequency domain, the uniqueness is established for the principal symbol matrices of the covariance and relation operators with respect to the far-field pattern obtained from a single realization of the random source almost surely.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, some preliminaries are given for the high dimensional complex-valued GMIG random fields and the fundamental solutions to the Helmholtz equation, the biharmonic wave equation, and the Navier equation. Sections 3–6 are devoted to the direct and inverse random source problems for acoustic waves, biharmonic waves, electromagnetic waves, and elastic waves, respectively. The direct problems are examined, and the uniqueness of the inverse problems is addressed.

1114

The paper concludes with some general remarks and discussions on the future work in section 7.

2. Preliminaries. In this section, we introduce the \mathbb{C}^n -valued $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ GMIG random fields and the fundamental solutions to the Helmholtz, biharmonic wave, and Navier equations.

2.1. \mathbb{C}^n -valued GMIG random fields. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open domain. Denote by $C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{F})$ the set of \mathbb{F} -valued smooth functions with compact supports contained in \mathcal{O} , where \mathbb{F} stands for the real-valued space \mathbb{R} , the complex-valued space \mathbb{C} , or the *n*-dimensional complex-valued space \mathbb{C}^n . Define the space of test functions by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{F})$, which is $C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{F})$ equipped with a locally convex topology. The dual space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{F})$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{F})$ is the space of distributions on \mathcal{O} with a weak-star topology.

Denote by $W^{\gamma,q}(\mathcal{O};\mathbb{F})$ the \mathbb{F} -valued classical Sobolev spaces with $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in (1,\infty)$, and by $W_0^{\gamma,q}(\mathcal{O};\mathbb{F})$ the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathcal{O};\mathbb{F})$ in $W^{\gamma,q}(\mathcal{O};\mathbb{F})$ with $\gamma > 0$. For simplicity, the domain \mathbb{F} will be omitted if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{C}^n , i.e., $W^{\gamma,q}(\mathcal{O}) = W^{\gamma,q}(\mathcal{O};\mathbb{C})$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $W^{\gamma,q}(\mathcal{O}) = W^{\gamma,q}(\mathcal{O};\mathbb{C}^n)$ if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space, where Ω is a sample space, \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra on Ω , and \mathbb{P} is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) .

First we consider scalar fields when n = 1. A scalar field f is said to be a \mathbb{C} -valued generalized Gaussian random field if $f : \Omega \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O})$ is a distribution satisfying that, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, the path $f[\cdot](\omega) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{O})$ is a linear functional on $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O})$ and, for any test function $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}), f[\psi] = \langle f, \psi \rangle : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is a \mathbb{C} -valued Gaussian random variable.

Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^d$. The \mathbb{C} -valued generalized Gaussian random field f defined on \mathbb{R}^d is uniquely determined by its expectation $\mathbb{E}f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, covariance operator $\mathcal{C}_f :$ $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and relation (pseudocovariance) operator $\mathcal{R}_f : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which are defined by

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbb{E}f, \psi \rangle &:= \mathbb{E}\langle f, \psi \rangle, \\ \langle \mathcal{C}_f \varphi, \psi \rangle &:= \mathbb{E}\big[\langle \overline{f - \mathbb{E}f}, \varphi \rangle \langle f - \mathbb{E}f, \psi \rangle \big] = \big\langle \mathbb{E}\big[(f - \mathbb{E}f) \otimes (\overline{f - \mathbb{E}f}) \big], \psi \otimes \varphi \big\rangle, \\ \langle \mathcal{R}_f \varphi, \psi \rangle &:= \mathbb{E}\left[\langle f - \mathbb{E}f, \varphi \rangle \langle f - \mathbb{E}f, \psi \rangle \right] = \big\langle \mathbb{E}\big[(f - \mathbb{E}f) \otimes (f - \mathbb{E}f) \big], \psi \otimes \varphi \big\rangle \end{split}$$

for any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is easy to note that $\mathcal{C}_f = \mathcal{R}_f$ if f is \mathbb{R} -valued. Introduce the space of symbols of order -m:

$$\mathcal{S}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ \sigma \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \\ |\partial_{\xi}^{\gamma_1} \partial_x^{\gamma_2} \sigma(x,\xi)| \le C_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2} (1+|\xi|)^{-m-|\gamma_1|} \right\},$$

where C_{γ_1,γ_2} is a positive constant depending on γ_1 and γ_2 .

DEFINITION 2.1. A \mathbb{C} -valued generalized Gaussian random field f on \mathbb{R}^d is said to be microlocally isotropic of order -m in D if its covariance and relation operators C_f and \mathcal{R}_f are classical pseudodifferential operators of order -m, whose symbols $\sigma^c, \sigma^r \in S^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy

$$\sigma^{\eta}(x,\xi) = a^{\eta}(x)|\xi|^{-m} + b^{\eta}(x,\xi),$$

where $b^{\eta} \in \mathcal{S}^{-m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $a^{\eta}, b^{\eta}(\cdot, \xi) \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$ for $\eta \in \{c, r\}$.

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Using the definition of pseudodifferential operators, we get

(2.1)
$$\mathcal{C}_f \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \sigma^c(x,\xi) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi$$

(2.2)
$$\mathcal{R}_f \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\mathbf{i}x\cdot\xi} \sigma^r(x,\xi) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = (\mathscr{F}\varphi)(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) dx$$

denotes the Fourier transform of φ . By the Schwartz kernel theorem, there exist unique kernels $K_f^c, K_f^r \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_f \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle K_f^c, \psi \otimes \varphi \rangle, \quad \langle \mathcal{R}_f \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle K_f^r, \psi \otimes \varphi \rangle,$$

which imply that

$$\begin{split} K_f^c(x,y) &= \mathbb{E}\big[(f(x) - \mathbb{E}f(x))(\overline{f(y) - \mathbb{E}f(y)})\big],\\ K_f^r(x,y) &= \mathbb{E}\big[(f(x) - \mathbb{E}f(x))(f(y) - \mathbb{E}f(y))\big] \end{split}$$

are distributions in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Using (2.1)–(2.2), we obtain the following bijection between the kernel K_f^{η} with $\eta \in \{c, r\}$ and the symbol σ^{η} :

(2.3)
$$K_{f}^{\eta}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \sigma^{\eta}(x,\xi) d\xi = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(\sigma^{\eta}(x,\cdot))(x-y).$$

Taking the Fourier transform on the both sides of (2.3) with respect to x - y gives

(2.4)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_f^{\eta}(x, y) e^{-\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot\xi} dy \right) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sigma^{\eta}(x, \xi) \varphi(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a^{\eta}(x) |\xi|^{-m} \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} b^{\eta}(x, \xi) \varphi(x) dx.$$

The regularity of random fields given in Definition 2.1 depends on the order -m. It has been studied in [24] and is stated in the following lemma.

- LEMMA 2.2. Let f be a \mathbb{C} -valued GMIG random field of order -m in D.
- (i) If $m \in (d, d+2)$, then $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(D)$ almost surely for all $\alpha \in (0, \frac{m-d}{2})$.

(ii) If
$$m \leq d$$
, then $f \in W^{\frac{m-a}{2}-\epsilon,p}(D)$ almost surely for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $p \in (1,\infty)$.

Now let us consider vector fields for n > 1. A vector field $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)^{\perp}$ is said to be a \mathbb{C}^n -valued GMIG random field of order -m in D if each component $f_j, j = 1, \ldots, n$, is a \mathbb{C} -valued GMIG random field of the same order -m in D. Similarly, the \mathbb{C}^n -valued generalized Gaussian random field \mathbf{f} is uniquely determined by its expectation $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, covariance operator $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{f}} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and relation operator $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{f}} : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \mapsto \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The kernels $K_{\mathbf{f}}^c, K_{\mathbf{f}}^r \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ can be formally expressed as the following distributions:

$$K_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{c}(x,y) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{f}(x) - \mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{f}(x))(\overline{\boldsymbol{f}(y) - \mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{f}(y)})^{\top}\right],$$

$$K_{\boldsymbol{f}}^{r}(x,y) = \mathbb{E}\left[(\boldsymbol{f}(x) - \mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{f}(x))(\boldsymbol{f}(y) - \mathbb{E}\boldsymbol{f}(y))^{\top}\right].$$

If \boldsymbol{f} is microlocally isotropic of order -m, then there exist symbols $\Sigma^r, \Sigma^c \in \mathcal{S}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ of the form

$$\Sigma^{\eta}(x,\xi) = A^{\eta}(x)|\xi|^{-m} + B^{\eta}(x,\xi)$$

with $B^{\eta} \in \mathcal{S}^{-m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ and $A^{\eta}, B^{\eta}(\cdot, \xi) \in C_0^{\infty}(D; \mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{f}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \Sigma^c(x, \xi) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\xi) d\xi, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \Sigma^r(x, \xi) \widehat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\xi) d\xi$

for any $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and

$$K^{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{f}}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\mathrm{i}(x-y)\cdot\xi} \Sigma^{\eta}(x,\xi) d\xi = \mathscr{F}^{-1}(\Sigma^{\eta}(x,\cdot))(x-y),$$

where $\eta \in \{c, r\}$. It can also be verified that the kernel K_f^{η} satisfies

(2.5)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K^{\eta}_{f}(x,y) e^{-\mathbf{i}(x-y)\cdot\xi} dy \right) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Sigma^{\eta}(x,\xi) \varphi(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} A^{\eta}(x) |\xi|^{-m} \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} B^{\eta}(x,\xi) \varphi(x) dx.$$

2.2. The fundamental solutions. In this subsection, we introduce the fundamental solutions and their asymptotic behaviors of large arguments for the wave equations considered in this work. They play an important role in the analysis.

The fundamental solution of the Helmholtz equation in \mathbb{R}^d is given by

$$\Phi_d(x, y, \kappa) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{4} H_0^{(1)}(\kappa |x - y|), & d = 2, \\ \\ \frac{e^{i\kappa |x - y|}}{4\pi |x - y|}, & d = 3, \end{cases}$$

where $\kappa > 0$ is the wave number and $H_0^{(1)}$ is the Hankel function of the first kind with order zero. Let $\hat{x} := x/|x| \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Noting (cf. [10, Theorem 2.6])

$$|x - y| = \sqrt{|x|^2 - 2|x|\hat{x} \cdot y + |y|^2} = |x| - \hat{x} \cdot y + O\left(|x|^{-1}\right), \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

and (cf. [10, equation (3.105)])

$$H_0^{(1)}(z) = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} e^{iz} \left(1 + O(|z|^{-1}) \right), \quad |z| \to \infty,$$

we have

$$\frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\kappa|x-y|}}{|x-y|} = \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\kappa|x|}}{|x|} \left(e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot y} + O\left(|x|^{-1}\right) \right), \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

and

$$H_0^{(1)}(\kappa|x-y|) = (-i)e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\kappa|x|}}e^{i\kappa|x|} \left(e^{-i\kappa\hat{x}\cdot y} + O\left(|x|^{-1}\right)\right), \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

which imply

(2.6)
$$\Phi_d(x, y, \kappa) = \frac{e^{i\kappa|x|}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \left(C_d \kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}} e^{-i\kappa \hat{x} \cdot y} + O\left(|x|^{-1}\right) \right), \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

ι iπ

where

(2.7)
$$C_d = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{\epsilon_4}}{\sqrt{8\pi}}, & d = 2, \\ \frac{1}{4\pi}, & d = 3. \end{cases}$$

The fundamental solution of the biharmonic wave equation is (cf. [27, 31, 32])

$$F_d(x, y, \kappa) = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left[\Phi_d(x, y, \kappa) - \Phi_d(x, y, i\kappa) \right]$$

It follows from (2.6) that we have

(2.8)
$$F_d(x, y, \kappa) = \frac{e^{i\kappa|x|}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \left(\frac{C_d}{2} \kappa^{\frac{d-7}{2}} e^{-i\kappa\hat{x}\cdot y} + O\left(|x|^{-1}\right)\right), \quad |x| \to \infty.$$

For the elastic wave equation, it is strongly elliptic if the Lamé parameters λ and μ satisfy $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda + 2\mu > 0$ (cf. [29, section 10.4]). Its Green tensor is given by

$$\boldsymbol{G}_{d}(x,y,\omega) = \frac{1}{\mu} \Phi_{d}(x,y,\kappa_{\rm s}) \boldsymbol{I} + \frac{1}{\omega^{2}} \nabla_{x} \nabla_{x}^{\top} \Big[\Phi_{d}(x,y,\kappa_{\rm s}) - \Phi_{d}(x,y,\kappa_{\rm p}) \Big],$$

where $\omega > 0$ is the angular frequency, $\kappa_p := c_p \omega$ and $\kappa_s := c_s \omega$ with $c_p = (\lambda + 2\mu)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $c_s = \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ denote the compressional and shear wave numbers, respectively, and \boldsymbol{I} is the $d \times d$ identity matrix. It is shown in [30, equations (27)–(28)] for d = 2 and in [9, equation (2.2)] for d = 3 that \boldsymbol{G}_d has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$G_{d}(x,y,\omega) = \frac{e^{i\kappa_{p}|x|}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} C_{d} c_{p}^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \hat{x} \hat{x}^{\top} e^{-i\kappa_{p} \hat{x} \cdot y} (2.9) \qquad \qquad + \frac{e^{i\kappa_{s}|x|}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} C_{d} c_{s}^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} (\boldsymbol{I} - \hat{x} \hat{x}^{\top}) e^{-i\kappa_{s} \hat{x} \cdot y} + O(|x|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}), \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

where the constant C_d is given in (2.7).

3. Acoustic waves. In this section, we investigate the direct and inverse random source problems for the Helmholtz equation.

3.1. The direct problem. Consider the stochastic Helmholtz equation

(3.1)
$$\Delta u + \kappa^2 u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The wave field u is required to satisfy the Sommerfeld radiation condition

(3.2)
$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\partial_{|x|}u - \mathrm{i}\kappa u\right) = 0.$$

The random source f satisfies the following assumption.

Assumption 3.1. The source f is assumed to be a \mathbb{C} -valued centered GMIG random field of order -m in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The principal symbols of its covariance and relation operators have the forms $a^c(x)|\xi|^{-m}$ and $a^r(x)|\xi|^{-m}$, respectively, where $a^c, a^r \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$.

The assumption that f is centered, i.e., $\mathbb{E}f = 0$, is mainly to simplify the notations of the covariance and relation operators. If f is not centered, i.e., $\mathbb{E}f \neq 0$, it becomes a deterministic inverse source problem to recover $\mathbb{E}f$ after taking the expectation on both sides of (3.1), and then it suffices to study the centered source $\tilde{f} := f - \mathbb{E}f$.

The problem (3.1)–(3.2) was studied in [19, 26], where f was assumed to be a \mathbb{R} -valued centered GMIG random field of order -m with $m \in (d-1, d]$. When f is \mathbb{C} -valued with its covariance and relation operators being of the same order -m, its regularity is the same as the \mathbb{R} -valued case. The well-posedness of (3.1)–(3.2); may be obtained directly based on the results in [19, 26], but the parameters are not optimal. The following result presents the well-posedness of (3.1)–(3.2); the parameters are different from the existing results and allow more general and rougher sources.

THEOREM 3.2. Let f satisfy Assumption 3.1 with $m \in (d-4, d]$. The problem (3.1)–(3.2) is well-posed in the sense of distributions with a unique solution given by

(3.3)
$$u(x,\kappa) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_d(x,y,\kappa) f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $u \in W^{\gamma,q}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ almost surely for any q > 1 and

$$0 < \gamma < \min\left\{\frac{4-d+m}{2}, \frac{4-d+m}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}\right)d\right\}.$$

Proof. By Assumption 3.1, we have $f \in W^{\frac{m-d}{2}-\epsilon,p}(D)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and p > 1 according to Lemma 2.2. It follows from the Kondrachov embedding theorem that

$$W^{\frac{m-d}{2}-\epsilon,p}(D) \hookrightarrow H^{-s_1}(D)$$

is continuous for any $p \ge 2$ and $s_1 \in (\frac{d-m}{2}, 2)$.

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with a locally Lipschitz boundary. Define the volume potential operator \mathcal{H}_{κ} by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}f)(x) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_d(x, y, \kappa) f(y) dy.$$

Following the same procedure used in [26, Lemma 3.1] yields that $\mathcal{H}_{\kappa} : H^{-s_1}(D) \to H^{s_2}(G)$ is bounded for any $s_1, s_2 > 0$ satisfying $s := s_1 + s_2 \in (0, 2]$. More precisely, we consider spaces $C^{0,\alpha}(D)$ and $C^{2,\alpha}(G)$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ equipped with scalar products

$$(f_1, f_2)_{C^{0,\alpha}(D)} := (\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2)_{H^{s_2-2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall \ f_1, f_2 \in C^{0,\alpha}(D)$$

and

$$(g_1, g_2)_{C^{2,\alpha}(G)} := (\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2)_{H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \forall \ g_1, g_2 \in C^{2,\alpha}(G),$$

respectively. Here, \tilde{f}_i and \tilde{g}_i , i = 1, 2, denote the zero extensions of f_i and g_i outside D and G, respectively. We then obtain

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}f\|_{H^{s_2}(G)} = \|\mathcal{H}_{\kappa}f\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(G)} \lesssim \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(D)} = \|f\|_{H^{s_2-2}(D)} \le \|f\|_{H^{-s_1}(D)}.$$

Since $s_1 \in (\frac{d-m}{2}, 2)$, it holds $0 < s_2 \le 2 - s_1 < \frac{4-d+m}{2}$. Choose $s_2 = \frac{4-d+m}{2} - \epsilon$. Then for γ and q satisfying the assumptions in the theorem, there must exist some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\gamma < s_2$ and $\frac{1}{q} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s_2 - \gamma}{d}$, and hence the embedding

$$H^{s_2}(G) \hookrightarrow W^{\gamma,q}(G)$$

is continuous, which completes the proof.

3.2. The inverse problem. The inverse source problem aims to recover the principal symbols a^c and a^r of the covariance and relation operators, respectively, from the far-field pattern of the wave field. Combining (2.6) and (3.3) gives

$$u(x,\kappa) = \frac{e^{i\kappa|x|}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \left(u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa) + O(|x|^{-1}) \right), \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

where u^{∞} is known as the far-field pattern and is given by

(3.4)
$$u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa) = -C_d \kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot y} f(y) dy.$$

П

First, we show that the Fourier modes of a^c and a^r can be determined by the expectation of the high frequency limit of the far-field pattern, which is stated in the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. Let f satisfy Assumption 3.1 with $m \in (d-4, d]$. For any $\tau \ge 0$, it holds

(3.5)
$$\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \kappa^{m+3-d} \mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)}\right] = |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}),$$

(3.6)
$$\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \kappa^{m+3-d} \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa + \tau) u^{\infty}(-\hat{x}, \kappa) \right] = C_d^2 \widehat{a^r}(\tau \hat{x}).$$

Proof. It follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)}\right] \\ &= |C_d|^2(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\mathrm{i}(\kappa+\tau)\hat{x}\cdot y}e^{\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot z}\mathbb{E}\left[f(y)\overline{f(z)}\right]dydz \\ &= |C_d|^2(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}K_f^c(y,z)e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot(y-z)}dz\right]e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy \\ &= |C_d|^2(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}a^c(y)e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy|\kappa\hat{x}|^{-m} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}b^c(y,\kappa\hat{x})e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy\right] \\ (3.7) &= |C_d|^2\left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+\tau}\right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}}\kappa^{d-3-m}\hat{a^c}(\tau\hat{x}) + O(\kappa^{d-4-m}), \end{split}$$

where we used the relationship between the kernel K_f^c and the symbol a^c given in (2.4) and the fact that the residual $b^c \in \mathcal{S}^{-m-1}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $|b^c(y, \kappa \hat{x})| \leq \kappa^{-m-1}$ as $\kappa \to \infty$ and $b^c(\cdot, \xi) \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Multiplying both sides of (3.7) by κ^{m+3-d} and taking the limit as $\kappa \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \kappa^{m+3-d} \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa + \tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa)} \right] = |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}) \lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa + \tau} \right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} = |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}),$$

which completes the proof of (3.5).

Similarly, we may show (3.6) by taking the high frequency limit of the data

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)u^{\infty}(-\hat{x},\kappa)\right] \\ &= C_{d}^{2}(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}e^{-\mathrm{i}(\kappa+\tau)\hat{x}\cdot y}e^{\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot z}\mathbb{E}\left[f(y)f(z)\right]dydz \\ &= C_{d}^{2}(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}K_{f}^{r}(y,z)e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot(y-z)}dz\right]e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy \\ &= C_{d}^{2}(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}a^{r}(y)e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy|\kappa\hat{x}|^{-m} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}b^{r}(y,\kappa\hat{x})e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy\right] \\ &= C_{d}^{2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+\tau}\right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}}\kappa^{d-3-m}\hat{a^{r}}(\tau\hat{x}) + O(\kappa^{d-4-m}), \end{split}$$

where the residual $b^r(\cdot,\xi) \in C_0^{\infty}(D)$ is uniformly bounded by $|\xi|^{-m-1}$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$. \Box

The results in Lemma 3.3 imply that a^c and a^r can be uniquely determined by the expectation of high frequency limit of the far-field pattern. This kind of data requires the measurements at all sample paths of the random source. Next we show that a^c and a^r can also be uniquely determined by the far-field pattern averaged over the frequency band at a single sample path almost surely.

The following results present some a priori estimates of the far-field pattern, which are used to show the analogue of ergodicity in the frequency domain.

LEMMA 3.4. Let f satisfy Assumption 3.1 with $m \in (d-4, d]$. For any $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \geq 1$ and any fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the following estimates hold:

(3.8)
$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_2)} \right] \right| \lesssim \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m} (1+|\kappa_1-\kappa_2|)^{-N},$$

(3.9)
$$|\mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1)u^{\infty}(-\hat{x},\kappa_2)\right]| \lesssim \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m}(1+|\kappa_1-\kappa_2|)^{-N},$$

(3.10)
$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1) \overline{u^{\infty}(-\hat{x},\kappa_2)} \right] \right| \lesssim \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m} (1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2)^{-N},$$

(3.11)
$$|\mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1)u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_2)\right]| \lesssim \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m} (1+\kappa_1+\kappa_2)^{-N}.$$

Proof. It follows from (2.4) and (3.4) that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_{1})\overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_{2})}\right]$$

$$= |C_{d}|^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_{1}\hat{x}\cdot y} e^{\mathrm{i}\kappa_{2}\hat{x}\cdot z} \mathbb{E}\left[f(y)\overline{f(z)}\right] dydz$$

$$= |C_{d}|^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_{2}\hat{x}\cdot(y-z)} K_{f}^{c}(y,z)dz\right] e^{\mathrm{i}(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1})\hat{x}\cdot y} dy$$

$$(3.12) = |C_{d}|^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{D} \sigma^{c}(y,\kappa_{2}\hat{x}) e^{\mathrm{i}(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1})\hat{x}\cdot y} dy,$$

where the symbol $\sigma^c \in \mathcal{S}^{-m}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies

(3.13)
$$\left| \partial_y^{\alpha} \sigma^c(y, \kappa_2 \hat{x}) \right| \lesssim (1 + \kappa_2)^{-m}$$

for any multiple index α , and we used the fact that $\sigma^c(\cdot, \kappa_2 \hat{x})$ is compactly supported in D.

If $|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2| < 1$, we have from (3.12)–(3.13) that

$$\begin{split} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_2)} \right] \right| &\lesssim (\kappa_1 \kappa_2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_D |\sigma^c(y,\kappa_2 \hat{x})| dy \\ &\lesssim (\kappa_1 \kappa_2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} (1+\kappa_2)^{-m} \\ &\lesssim (\kappa_1 \kappa_2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \left(\frac{2}{1+|\kappa_1-\kappa_2|} \right)^N (1+\kappa_2)^{-m} \\ &\lesssim 2^N \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m} (1+|\kappa_1-\kappa_2|)^{-N}. \end{split}$$

If $|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2| \ge 1$, applying the integration by parts to (3.12) with respect to y_1 gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_{1})\overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_{2})}\right]$$

= $|C_{d}|^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\frac{-1}{\mathrm{i}(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1})\hat{x}_{1}}\int_{D}\partial_{y_{1}}\sigma^{c}(y,\kappa_{2}\hat{x})e^{\mathrm{i}(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1})\hat{x}\cdot y}dy$
= $|C_{d}|^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\left(\frac{-1}{\mathrm{i}(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1})\hat{x}_{1}}\right)^{N}\int_{D}\partial_{y_{1}}^{N}c_{f}(y,\kappa_{2}\hat{x})e^{\mathrm{i}(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1})\hat{x}\cdot y}dy.$

Downloaded 07/13/22 to 124.16.148.27 . Redistribution subject to SIAM license or copyright; see https://epubs.siam.org/terms-privacy

Hence

$$\begin{split} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_2)} \right] \right| &\lesssim (\kappa_1 \kappa_2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \frac{1}{|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|^N} (1+\kappa_2)^{-m} \\ &\lesssim \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2} - m} \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|} \right)^N (1+|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|)^{-N} \\ &\lesssim 2^N \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2} - m} (1+|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|)^{-N}, \end{split}$$

which concludes (3.8).

The estimate (3.9) can be obtained similarly by noting

$$\mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_{1})u^{\infty}(-\hat{x},\kappa_{2}) \right]$$

$$= C_{d}^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i\kappa_{1}\hat{x}\cdot y} e^{i\kappa_{2}\hat{x}\cdot z} \mathbb{E} \left[f(y)f(z) \right] dydz$$

$$= C_{d}^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-i\kappa_{2}\hat{x}\cdot(y-z)} K_{f}^{r}(y,z)dz \right] e^{i(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1})\hat{x}\cdot y} dy$$

$$(3.14) \qquad = C_{d}^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \sigma^{r}(y,\kappa_{2}\hat{x})e^{i(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1})\hat{x}\cdot y}dy,$$

where the estimate is similar to (3.12) with σ^c being replaced by σ^r .

For (3.10) and (3.11), we rewrite the correlations as follows

$$\mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_{1})\overline{u^{\infty}(-\hat{x},\kappa_{2})}\right] = |C_{d}|^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_{1}\hat{x}\cdot y} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_{2}\hat{x}\cdot z} \mathbb{E}\left[f(y)\overline{f(z)}\right] dydz,$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_{1})u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_{2})\right] = C_{d}^{2}(\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2})^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_{1}\hat{x}\cdot y} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_{2}\hat{x}\cdot z} \mathbb{E}\left[f(y)f(z)\right] dydz.$$

Comparing the above formulas with (3.12) and (3.14), it is easily seen that they can be estimated similarly to (3.8) and (3.9) by replacing κ_2 by $-\kappa_2$, respectively, which completes the proofs of (3.10) and (3.11).

THEOREM 3.5. Let f satisfy Assumption 3.1 with $m \in (d-4, d]$. Then for all $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\tau \geq 0$, it holds almost surely that

(3.15)
$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m+3-d} u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa+\tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa)} d\kappa = |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}),$$

(3.16)
$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m+3-d} u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) u^{\infty}(-\hat{x},\kappa) d\kappa = C_d^2 \widehat{a^r}(\tau \hat{x}).$$

Moreover, a^c and a^r can be uniquely determined by (3.15) and (3.16), respectively, with $(\tau, \hat{x}) \in \Theta$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ being any open domain.

Proof. We only give the proof of (3.15) since the proof of (3.16) can be obtained similarly by using (3.6) in Lemma 3.3.

Based on the proof of Lemma 3.3, we multiply both sides of (3.7) by κ^{m+3-d} , take the integral with respect to κ , and get

$$\frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m+3-d} \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)} \right] d\kappa$$
$$= |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}) \left[\frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+\tau} \right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} d\kappa \right] + O\left(Q^{-1}\right).$$

1122

Noting

$$\frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+\tau}\right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} d\kappa \leq 1$$

and

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa + \tau}\right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} d\kappa \ge \lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \left(\frac{Q}{Q + \tau}\right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} d\kappa = 1$$

leads to

(3.17)
$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m+3-d} \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa+\tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa)} \right] d\kappa = |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}).$$

To characterize the error between (3.15) and (3.17), we define an auxiliary process

$$Y(\hat{x},\kappa) := \kappa^{m+3-d} \left(u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)} - \mathbb{E} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)} \right] \right).$$

For convenience, we denote by

$$U(\hat{x},\kappa) := \frac{1}{2} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa) + \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)} \right], \quad V(\hat{x},\kappa) := \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa) - \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)} \right]$$

the real and imaginary parts of $u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)$, respectively. Then $u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)}$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)} &= \left[U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) + \mathrm{i}V(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\right] \left[U(\hat{x},\kappa) - \mathrm{i}V(\hat{x},\kappa)\right] \\ &= \frac{1+\mathrm{i}}{2} \left[U^{2}(\hat{x},\kappa) + U^{2}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) + V^{2}(\hat{x},\kappa) + V^{2}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\right] \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \left(U(\hat{x},\kappa) - U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(V(\hat{x},\kappa) - V(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\right)^{2} \\ &\quad -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \left(U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) + V(\hat{x},\kappa)\right)^{2} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \left(V(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) - U(\hat{x},\kappa)\right)^{2}. \end{split}$$

Define $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, where

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_1 &:= \{ U(\hat{x},\kappa), V(\hat{x},\kappa), U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau), V(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) \}, \\ \Gamma_2 &:= \{ U(\hat{x},\kappa) - U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau), V(\hat{x},\kappa) - V(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau), \\ U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) + V(\hat{x},\kappa), V(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau) - U(\hat{x},\kappa) \}, \end{split}$$

and let W_{κ} be any random field in Γ . Based on these notations, we have

$$Y(\hat{x},\kappa) = \sum_{W_{\kappa} \in \Gamma} C(W_{\kappa})\kappa^{m+3-d}(W_{\kappa}^2 - \mathbb{E}W_{\kappa}^2),$$

where $C(W_{\kappa}) \in \{\frac{1+i}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{i}{2}\}$ is a constant depending on W_{κ} . Now it suffices to show for all $W_{\kappa} \in \Gamma$ that

(3.18)
$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m+3-d} (W_{\kappa}^2 - \mathbb{E}W_{\kappa}^2) d\kappa = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} Y(\hat{x}, \kappa) d\kappa = 0,$$

which, together with (3.17), yields (3.15).

To prove (3.18), by denoting the \mathbb{R} -valued centered random field

$$X_{\kappa} := \kappa^{m+3-d} (W_{\kappa}^2 - \mathbb{E} W_{\kappa}^2)$$

according to [8, Theorem 4.1] and [23, Lemma 6], one only needs to show that there exist some constants $\eta \ge 0$, $\beta > 0$, and $C_{\tau} > 0$ independent of κ and t such that

$$|\mathbb{E}[X_{\kappa}X_{\kappa+t}]| \le C_{\tau}(1+|t-\eta|)^{-\beta} \quad \forall \ \kappa, t > 0.$$

More precisely, it suffices to show

1124

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[\kappa^{m+3-d} (W_{\kappa}^2 - \mathbb{E} W_{\kappa}^2) (\kappa + t)^{m+3-d} (W_{\kappa+t}^2 - \mathbb{E} W_{\kappa+t}^2) \right] \right|$$

$$= 2 \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\kappa^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} (\kappa + t)^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} W_{\kappa} W_{\kappa+t} \right] \right)^2$$

$$(3.19) \qquad \leq C_{\tau} (1 + |t - \eta|)^{-\beta}$$

for all $W_{\kappa} \in \Gamma$, where in the first step we used [8, Lemma 4.2] and the fact that W_{κ} is Gaussian.

For any $W_{\kappa} \in \Gamma_1$, based on the identities

$$U(\hat{x},\kappa_1)U(\hat{x},\kappa_2) = \frac{1}{4} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1) + \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1)} \right] \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_2) + \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_2)} \right],$$
$$V(\hat{x},\kappa_1)V(\hat{x},\kappa_2) = -\frac{1}{4} \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1) - \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_1)} \right] \left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_2) - \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa_2)} \right],$$

and Lemma 3.4, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[U(\hat{x},\kappa_1)U(\hat{x},\kappa_2)\right] \lesssim \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m} (1+|\kappa_1-\kappa_2|)^{-N},$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[V(\hat{x},\kappa_1)V(\hat{x},\kappa_2)\right] \lesssim \kappa_1^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \kappa_2^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m} (1+|\kappa_1-\kappa_2|)^{-N}.$$

As a result, it holds

(3.20)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\kappa^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} (\kappa+t)^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} W_{\kappa} W_{\kappa+t} \right] \right| \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} (\kappa+t)^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} \kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}} (\kappa+t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m} (1+t)^{-N} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+t} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} (1+t)^{-N} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\left(N+\frac{m}{2}\wedge 0\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the facts that

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+t}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \le 1$$

for $m \ge 0$ and

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+t}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} = \left(1+\frac{t}{\kappa}\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} \le (1+t)^{-\frac{m}{2}}$$

for m < 0. Then (3.20) implies that (3.19) holds for $W_{\kappa} \in \Gamma_1$ by choosing $N > -\frac{m}{2}$.

For any $W_{\kappa} \in \Gamma_2$, we take $W_{\kappa} = U(\hat{x}, \kappa) - U(\hat{x}, \kappa + \tau)$, for instance. The other cases can be estimated similarly. Note that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[W_{\kappa}W_{\kappa+t}\right] &|=|\mathbb{E}\left[(U(\hat{x},\kappa) - U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau))(U(\hat{x},\kappa+t) - U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau+t))\right]|\\ &\leq |\mathbb{E}\left[U(\hat{x},\kappa)U(\hat{x},\kappa+t)\right]| + |\mathbb{E}\left[U(\hat{x},\kappa)U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau+t)\right]|\\ &+|\mathbb{E}\left[U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)U(\hat{x},\kappa+t)\right]| + |\mathbb{E}\left[U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)U(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau+t)\right]|\\ &\lesssim \kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\kappa+t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m}(1+t)^{-N} + \kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\kappa+\tau+t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m}(1+t+\tau)^{-N}\\ &+(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\kappa+t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m}(1+|t-\tau|)^{-N}\\ &+(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\kappa+\tau+t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m}(1+t)^{-N}\\ &\lesssim \kappa^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\kappa+t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m}(1+t)^{-N} + (\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m}(1+|t-\tau|)^{-N}\\ &+(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\kappa+\tau+t)^{\frac{d-3}{2}-m}(1+t)^{-N}. \end{split}$$

It then leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[\kappa^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} (\kappa+t)^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} W_{\kappa} W_{\kappa+t} \right] \right| \\ &\lesssim \kappa^{\frac{m}{2}} (\kappa+t)^{-\frac{m}{2}} (1+t)^{-N} + \kappa^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+\tau} \right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} (\kappa+t)^{-\frac{m}{2}} (1+|t-\tau|)^{-N} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+\tau} \right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+t+\tau} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa+t}{\kappa+t+\tau} \right)^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} (1+t)^{-N} \\ (3.21) &\lesssim (1+t)^{-(N+m\wedge 0)} + (1+|t-\tau|)^{-N}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimates in (3.20) and the facts that

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+t+\tau}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa+t}{\kappa+t+\tau}\right)^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} \le \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+t+\tau}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa+t}{\kappa+t+\tau}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \le 1$$

for $m \ge 0$ and

$$\left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+t+\tau}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} \left(\frac{\kappa+t}{\kappa+t+\tau}\right)^{\frac{m+3-d}{2}} \le \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+t+\tau}\right)^m \lesssim (1+t+\tau)^{-m}$$
$$\lesssim (1+\tau)^{-m} (1+t)^{-m}$$

for m < 0. It then completes the proof of (3.19) for $W_{\kappa} \in \Gamma_2$.

Combining (3.20) and (3.21) yields (3.19) for all $W_{\kappa} \in \Gamma$ and deduces (3.15).

Since a^c and a^r are analytic, they can be uniquely determined by $\{\hat{a}^c(\tau \hat{x})\}\$ and $\{\hat{a}^r(\tau \hat{x})\}\$, where $(\tau, \hat{x}) \in \Theta$ with Θ being any open subdomain of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. \Box

4. Biharmonic waves. In this section, we study the direct and inverse source problems for the stochastic biharmonic wave equation

(4.1)
$$\Delta^2 u - \kappa^4 u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where f is assumed to be a \mathbb{C} -valued GMIG random field satisfying Assumption 3.1 with $m \in (d-6, d]$. In addition, the wave field u and its Laplacian Δu are required to satisfy the Sommerfeld radiation condition

(4.2)
$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (\partial_{|x|}u - \mathrm{i}\kappa u) = 0, \quad \lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (\partial_{|x|}\Delta u - \mathrm{i}\kappa\Delta u) = 0.$$

Given f, the well-posedness of the problem (4.1)–(4.2) was studied in [27, Theorem 3.2] and is given below.

THEOREM 4.1. Let f satisfy Assumption 3.1 with $m \in (d-6, d]$. Then the problem (4.1)-(4.2) admits a unique solution

(4.3)
$$u(x,\kappa) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F_d(x,y,\kappa) f(y) dy$$

in the sense of distributions such that $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{\gamma,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ almost surely for any q > 1 and $0 < \gamma < \min\{\frac{6-d+m}{2}, \frac{6-d+m}{2} + (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2})d\}.$

Next we address the inverse problem for biharmonic waves. Combining (2.8) and (4.3) leads to

$$u(x,\kappa) = \frac{e^{i\kappa|x|}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \left(u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa) + O(|x|^{-1}) \right)$$

where the far-field pattern is given by

(4.4)
$$u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa) = -\frac{C_d}{2}\kappa^{\frac{d-7}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot y} f(y) dy.$$

It is easy to note from (3.4) and (4.4) that the procedure used in section 3 for acoustic waves is applicable for biharmonic waves. The following is the main result for the inverse source problem of the biharmonic wave equation.

THEOREM 4.2. Let f satisfy Assumption 3.1 with $m \in (d-6,d]$. Then for all $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\tau \geq 0$, it holds almost surely that

(4.5)
$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m+7-d} u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa+\tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa)} d\kappa = \frac{1}{4} |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}),$$

(4.6)
$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_Q^{2Q} \kappa^{m+7-d} u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa+\tau) u^{\infty}(-\hat{x}, \kappa) d\kappa = \frac{1}{4} C_d^2 \widehat{a^r}(\tau \hat{x}).$$

Moreover, a^c and a^r can be uniquely determined by (4.5) and (4.6), respectively, with $(\tau, \hat{x}) \in \Theta$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ being any open domain.

Proof. A simple calculation yields

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa+\tau)\overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa)}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}|C_d|^2(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-7}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-7}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}e^{-\mathrm{i}(\kappa+\tau)\hat{x}\cdot y}e^{\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot z}\mathbb{E}\left[f(y)\overline{f(z)}\right]dydz \\ &= \frac{1}{4}|C_d|^2(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-7}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-7}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}K_f^c(y,z)e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot(y-z)}dz\right]e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy \\ &= \frac{1}{4}|C_d|^2(\kappa+\tau)^{\frac{d-7}{2}}\kappa^{\frac{d-7}{2}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}a^c(y)e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy|\kappa\hat{x}|^{-m} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}b^c(y,\kappa\hat{x})e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau\hat{x}\cdot y}dy\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4}|C_d|^2\left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa+\tau}\right)^{\frac{7-d}{2}}\kappa^{d-7-m}\hat{a^c}(\tau\hat{x}) + O(\kappa^{d-8-m}), \end{split}$$

which gives

$$\lim_{\kappa \to \infty} \kappa^{m+7-d} \mathbb{E}\left[u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa+\tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa)} \right] = \frac{1}{4} |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}).$$

Using

$$1 \ge \lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa + \tau}\right)^{\frac{\tau - d}{2}} d\kappa \ge \lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \left(\frac{Q}{Q + \tau}\right)^{\frac{\tau - d}{2}} d\kappa = 1$$

and the estimates of the correlations of the far-field pattern u^{∞} at different frequencies, which can be obtained by following the same procedure as the one used in Lemma 3.4, we may replace the high frequency limit in the above result by the limit of the averaged data over the frequency band at a single sample path with probability one, i.e.,

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m+7-d} u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa+\tau) \overline{u^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa)} d\kappa = \frac{1}{4} |C_d|^2 \widehat{a^c}(\tau \hat{x}).$$

The recovery formula (4.6) can be obtained similarly, and the details are omitted for brevity.

5. Electromagnetic waves. This section is concerned with the direct and inverse source problems for electromagnetic waves. The inverse random source problem for Maxwell's equations was considered in [25], where the source was assumed to be a centered GMIG random vector field whose real and imaginary parts were independent and identically distributed. Under this assumption, the relation operator of the random source vanishes, and the random source is only determined by its covariance operator. The strength matrix of the covariance operator was proved to be uniquely determined by the phased near-field data of the electric field.

In this work, we remove the assumption that the real and imaginary parts of the random source are independent and identically distributed, and investigate the recovery of the strengths of both the covariance and relation operators for the random source from the far-field pattern of the electric field.

Consider the stochastic Maxwell's equations

(5.1)
$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} - i\kappa \boldsymbol{H} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{H} + i\kappa \boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{f} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where E and H are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, and the random source f represents the electric current density satisfying the following assumption with d = 3.

Assumption 5.1. The electric current density f is assumed to be a \mathbb{C}^d -valued centered GMIG random field of order -m in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The principal symbols of its covariance operator \mathcal{C}_f and relation operator \mathcal{R}_f have the forms $A^c(x)|\xi|^{-m}$ and $A^r(x)|\xi|^{-m}$, respectively, where $A^c, A^r \in C_0^{\infty}(D; \mathbb{C}^{d \times d})$.

As usual, an appropriate radiation condition is required for (5.1). Note that $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3)$ is a distribution, and hence (5.1) is interpreted in the sense of distributions. In [25], the following weak Silver–Müller radiation condition was proposed to the electromagnetic fields:

(5.2)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{|x|=r} (\boldsymbol{H} \times \hat{x} - \boldsymbol{E}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi} ds = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

In addition to Assumption 5.1 with $m \in (-1,3]$, f is required to be a distribution belonging to the space

$$\mathbb{X} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{U} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}'(\mathbb{R}^3) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \boldsymbol{U} \cdot (\nabla(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\phi})) \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \; \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$

Apparently, the space X is nonempty: if f is smooth enough and divergence-free, then $f \in X$. In fact, X can be regarded as the space of all distributions which are divergence-free in the sense of distributions. The weak divergence-free condition

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

ensures that Maxwell's equations (5.1) can be reduced to the Helmholtz equation and the electric field has an integral representation in terms of the source, where the integral kernel is exactly the fundamental solution to the Helmholtz equation. The details can be found in [25].

The following result concerns the well-posedness of (5.1)–(5.2) with a relaxed assumption on the order m of the random source.

THEOREM 5.2. Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{X}$ satisfy Assumption 5.1 with $m \in (-1,3]$. The problem (5.1)–(5.2) admits a unique solution (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}) with $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{X} \cap \mathbf{W}_{loc}^{\gamma,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\mathbf{H} \in (\mathbf{W}^{-\gamma,p}(curl))'$ almost surely for q > 1, $0 < \gamma < \min\{\frac{1+m}{2}, \frac{m}{2} + \frac{3}{q} - 1\}$ and p satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Moreover, the electric field has the form

$$\boldsymbol{E}(x,\kappa) = \mathrm{i}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi_3(x,y,\kappa) \boldsymbol{f}(y) dy$$

The proof can be obtained directly from the well-posedness of the Helmholtz equation given in Theorem 3.2 and [25, Corollary 2.3]. The details are omitted here.

To recover A^c and A^r of the covariance and relation operators for the random source, respectively, we consider the far-field pattern of the electric field

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{\infty}(\hat{x},\kappa) = \mathrm{i}\kappa C_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa\hat{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{y}} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{y},$$

which is obtained from the asymptotic behavior of the fundamental solution Φ_3 given in (2.6). By similar arguments as those for the Helmholtz equation in Theorem 3.5, we can establish the following uniqueness theorem.

THEOREM 5.3. Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{X}$ satisfy Assumption 5.1 with $m \in (-1,3]$. Then for all $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\tau \geq 0$, it holds almost surely that

(5.3)
$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m-2} \boldsymbol{E}^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa + \tau) \overline{\boldsymbol{E}^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa)}^{\top} d\kappa = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \widehat{A^c}(\tau \hat{x}),$$

(5.4)
$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \kappa^{m-2} \boldsymbol{E}^{\infty}(\hat{x}, \kappa + \tau) \boldsymbol{E}^{\infty}(-\hat{x}, \kappa)^{\top} d\kappa = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \widehat{A^r}(\tau \hat{x}),$$

where the Fourier transform of a matrix $A = [a_{jl}]_{j,l=1,\dots,3}$ is defined by $\widehat{A} = [\widehat{a_{jl}}]_{j,l=1,2,3}$.

Moreover, the strength matrices A^c and A^r are uniquely determined by (5.3) and (5.4), respectively, with $(\tau, \hat{x}) \in \Theta$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^2$ being any open domain.

6. Elastic waves. This section is devoted to the direct and inverse random source problems for elastic waves. Consider the stochastic Navier equation in a homogeneous medium

(6.1)
$$\mu \Delta \boldsymbol{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} + \omega^2 \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{f} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\omega > 0$ is the angular frequency, $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ is the displacement, λ and μ denote the Lamé parameters satisfying $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda + 2\mu > 0$ such that the second order partial differential operator $\Delta^* := \mu \Delta + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot$ is strongly elliptic (cf. [29, section 10.4]), and the source \boldsymbol{f} is assumed to be a \mathbb{C}^d -valued GMIG random field satisfying Assumption 5.1 with some restrictions on m to be given later.

By the Helmholtz decomposition [4, Appendix B], the displacement \boldsymbol{u} outside the support D of the random source can be decomposed as $\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{u}_p + \boldsymbol{u}_s$, where the compressional and shear parts \boldsymbol{u}_p and \boldsymbol{u}_s are defined by

$$oldsymbol{u}_p := -rac{1}{\kappa_p^2}
abla
abla \cdot oldsymbol{u}, \quad oldsymbol{u}_s := rac{1}{\kappa_s^2}
abla imes (
abla imes oldsymbol{u}) \quad ext{in } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{D},$$

where, for d = 3, " $\nabla \times$ " denotes the classical curl operator; for d = 2, " $\nabla \times (\nabla \times \cdot)$ " is defined by

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{u}) := \left(\partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} u_2 - \partial_{x_2}^2 u_1, \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} u_1 - \partial_{x_1}^2 u_2\right)^{\top}$$

for $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2)^{\top}$. The Kupradze–Sommerfeld radiation condition requires that \boldsymbol{u}_p and \boldsymbol{u}_s satisfy the Sommerfeld radiation condition

(6.2)
$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\partial_{|x|} \boldsymbol{u}_p - \mathrm{i}\kappa_p \boldsymbol{u}_p \right) = 0, \quad \lim_{|x|\to\infty} |x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \left(\partial_{|x|} \boldsymbol{u}_s - \mathrm{i}\kappa_s \boldsymbol{u}_s \right) = 0.$$

The well-posedness of the problem (6.1)-(6.2) was investigated in [26], where the random source \boldsymbol{f} was assumed to be \mathbb{R}^d -valued satisfying Assumption 5.1 with $m \in (d-1, d]$. The following result gives the well-posedness of the problem (6.1)-(6.2)with a \mathbb{C}^d -valued random source and a relaxed condition on the order m.

THEOREM 6.1. Let \mathbf{f} satisfy Assumption 5.1 with $m \in (d-4, d]$. The problem (6.1)–(6.2) admits a unique solution $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{W}_{\text{loc}}^{\gamma,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ almost surely given by

(6.3)
$$\boldsymbol{u}(x,\omega) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \boldsymbol{G}_d(x,y,\omega) \boldsymbol{f}(y) dy$$

for any q > 1 and $0 < \gamma < \min\{\frac{4-d+m}{2}, \frac{4-d+m}{2} + (\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2})d\}.$

Similar to the operator \mathcal{H}_{κ} defined in Theorem 3.2, the operator

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\omega}f)(x) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_d(x, y, \omega) f(y) dy$$

generated by the Green tensor G_d is also bounded from $H^{-s_1}(D)$ to $H^{s_2}(G)$ with $s_1, s_2 \ge 0$ and $s_1 + s_2 \in (0, 2]$, since the Green tensor G_d has the same singularity as the fundamental solution Φ_d to the Helmholtz equation. Hence, the proof of the above theorem can be obtained following the same procedure as the one used in Theorem 3.2 and is omitted here.

Based on the asymptotic behavior of the Green tensor G_d given in (2.9), we can rewrite u in (6.3) as the following asymptotic expansion

$$\boldsymbol{u}(x,\omega) = \frac{e^{i\kappa_p |x|}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{u}_p^{\infty}(\hat{x},\omega) + \frac{e^{i\kappa_s |x|}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \boldsymbol{u}_s^{\infty}(\hat{x},\omega) + O\left(|x|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}\right), \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

where

(6.4)
$$\boldsymbol{u}_p^{\infty}(\hat{x},\omega) = -C_d c_p^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \hat{x} \hat{x}^{\top} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_p \hat{x} \cdot y} \boldsymbol{f}(y) dy,$$

(6.5)
$$\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty}(\hat{x},\omega) = -C_{d}c_{s}^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{I}-\hat{x}\hat{x}^{\top}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}e^{-\mathrm{i}\kappa_{s}\hat{x}\cdot\boldsymbol{y}}\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y})d\boldsymbol{y}$$

are known as the compressional and shear far-field patterns of the scattered field \boldsymbol{u} , respectively. Due to the presence of matrices $\hat{x}\hat{x}^{\top}$ and $\boldsymbol{I} - \hat{x}\hat{x}^{\top}$ in (6.4)–(6.5), each component of $\boldsymbol{u}_p^{\infty}(\hat{x},\omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_s^{\infty}(\hat{x},\omega)$ consists of combinations of all components of

the random source f, which makes it more complicated than the cases for acoustic waves, biharmonic waves, and electromagnetic waves.

Define vectors

1130

$$v_{p,j} := \hat{x}_j \hat{x}, \quad v_{s,j} := e_j - v_{p,j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where $\hat{x} = (\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_d)^\top$ and \boldsymbol{e}_j is the unit vector in \mathbb{R}^d with its *j*th entry being one. For any matrix $A = [a_{jl}]_{j,l=1,\dots,d}$, define a reshape operator $\mathscr{R} : \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \to \mathbb{R}^{d^2}$ by

$$\mathscr{R}(A) := (a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1d}, \ldots, a_{d1}, \ldots, a_{dd})^{\top}$$

which rearranges the entries of matrix A in rows into a vector. Let $\boldsymbol{u}_p^{\infty} = (u_{p,1}^{\infty}, \ldots, u_{p,d}^{\infty})^{\top}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_s^{\infty} = (u_{s,1}^{\infty}, \ldots, u_{s,d}^{\infty})^{\top}$. Then, according to (6.4)–(6.5), we get the following expressions for components of $\boldsymbol{u}_p^{\infty}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}_s^{\infty}$:

(6.6)
$$u_{p,j}^{\infty}(\hat{x},\omega) = -C_d c_p^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\mathrm{i}c_p \omega \hat{x} \cdot y} \boldsymbol{v}_{p,j} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(y) dy,$$

(6.7)
$$u_{s,j}^{\infty}(\hat{x},\omega) = -C_d c_s^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\mathrm{i}c_s \omega \hat{x} \cdot y} \boldsymbol{v}_{s,j} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(y) dy$$

Based on the relationship between the kernel and the symbol of the covariance operator given in (2.5), together with (6.6), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{p,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_s c_p^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{u_{p,l}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_s c_p^{-1} \omega \right)} \right] \\ &= |C_d|^2 c_p^4 c_s^{d-3} (\omega + \tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\mathrm{i} c_s (\omega + \tau) \hat{x} \cdot y} e^{\mathrm{i} c_s \omega \hat{x} \cdot z} \\ &\times \mathbb{E} \left[v_{p,j} \cdot f(y) v_{p,l} \cdot \overline{f(z)} \right] dy dz \\ &= |C_d|^2 c_p^4 c_s^{d-3} (\omega + \tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\mathrm{i} c_s (\omega + \tau) \hat{x} \cdot y} e^{\mathrm{i} c_s \omega \hat{x} \cdot z} \\ &\times \mathbb{E} \left[\mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R}(f(y) \overline{f(z)}^{\top}) \right] dy dz \\ &= |C_d|^2 c_p^4 c_s^{d-3} (\omega + \tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\mathrm{i} c_s \omega \hat{x} \cdot (y - z)} \\ &\times \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R} K_f^c(y, z) dz \right] e^{-\mathrm{i} c_s \tau \hat{x} \cdot y} dy \\ &= |C_d|^2 c_p^4 c_s^{d-3} (\omega + \tau)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \omega^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R} A^c(y) \\ &\times e^{-\mathrm{i} c_s \tau \hat{x} \cdot y} dy |c_s \omega \hat{x}|^{-m} + O(\omega^{-m-1}) \right] \\ &= |C_d|^2 c_p^4 c_s^{d-3-m} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega + \tau} \right)^{\frac{3-d}{2}} \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R} \widehat{A^c}(c_s \tau \hat{x}) \omega^{d-3-m} + O(\omega^{d-4-m}), \end{split}$$

which leads to

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \omega^{m+3-d} c_{s}^{m+3-d} c_{p}^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{p,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{u_{p,l}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)} \right] d\omega$$

$$(6.8) \qquad = |C_{d}|^{2} \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R} \widehat{A^{c}}(c_{s} \tau \hat{x}).$$

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Similarly, by noting that

we have

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \omega^{m+3-d} c_s^{m+1-d} c_p^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{p,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_s c_p^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{u_{s,l}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega \right)} \right] d\omega$$

$$(6.9) \qquad = |C_d|^2 \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{s,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R} \widehat{A^c}(c_s \tau \hat{x}).$$

Following the same procedure as above, we may get the limit of the following correlations:

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \omega^{m+3-d} c_s^{m+1-d} c_p^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{s,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \overline{u_{p,l}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_s c_p^{-1} \omega \right)} \right] d\omega$$

$$(6.10) = |C_d|^2 \mathscr{R} (\boldsymbol{v}_{s,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R} \widehat{A^c} (c_s \tau \hat{x}),$$

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \omega^{m+3-d} c_s^{m-1-d} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{s,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \overline{u_{s,l}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega \right)} \right] d\omega$$

$$(6.11) = |C_d|^2 \mathscr{R} (\boldsymbol{v}_{s,j} \boldsymbol{v}_{s,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R} \widehat{A^c} (c_s \tau \hat{x}).$$

Note that the coefficients in (6.8)–(6.11) satisfy

$$\begin{split} &\mathscr{R}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{v}_{p,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{s,l}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{v}_{s,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{v}_{s,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{s,l}^{\top}\right) \\ &= \mathscr{R}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{v}_{p,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{s,l}^{\top} + (\boldsymbol{e}_j - \boldsymbol{v}_{p,j})\boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top} + (\boldsymbol{e}_j - \boldsymbol{v}_{p,j})\boldsymbol{v}_{s,l}^{\top}\right) \\ &= \mathscr{R}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_j\boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{e}_j(\boldsymbol{e}_l - \boldsymbol{v}_{p,l})^{\top}\right) \\ &= \mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{e}_j\boldsymbol{e}_l^{\top}), \end{split}$$

which yields

$$\mathscr{R}(\boldsymbol{v}_{p,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{v}_{s,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{p,l}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{v}_{s,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{s,l}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{v}_{p,j}\boldsymbol{v}_{s,l}^{\top}) \cdot \mathscr{R}\widehat{A^{c}}(c_{s}\tau\hat{x}) = \widehat{a_{jl}^{c}}(c_{s}\tau\hat{x}).$$

Adding (6.8)–(6.11), we derive that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \omega^{m+3-d} c_s^{m+3-d} \Big\{ c_p^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{p,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_s c_p^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{u_{p,l}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_s c_p^{-1} \omega \right)} \right] \\ &+ c_s^{-2} c_p^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{p,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_s c_p^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{u_{s,l}^{\infty} (\hat{x}, \omega)} \right] \\ &+ c_s^{-2} c_p^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{s,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \overline{u_{p,l}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_s c_p^{-1} \omega \right)} \right] \\ &+ c_s^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left[u_{s,j}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \overline{u_{s,l}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega \right)} \right] \Big\} d\omega = |C_d|^2 \widehat{a_{jl}^c} (c_s \tau \hat{x}) \end{split}$$

for j, l = 1, ..., d, which can be rewritten into a compact form

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \omega^{m+3-d} c_{s}^{m+3-d} \left\{ c_{p}^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)}^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \right. \\ \left. + c_{s}^{-2} c_{p}^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} (\hat{x}, \omega)}^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \right. \\ \left. + c_{s}^{-2} c_{p}^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)}^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \right] \\ \left. + c_{s}^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega \right)}^{\mathsf{T}} \right] \right\} d\omega = |C_{d}|^{2} \widehat{A^{c}} (c_{s} \tau \hat{x}).$$

A similar result for the strength A^r of the the relation operator can be obtained based on the same procedure:

$$\lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} \omega^{m+3-d} c_{s}^{m+3-d} \left\{ c_{p}^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(-\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)^{\top} \right] \right. \\ \left. + c_{s}^{-2} c_{p}^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} (-\hat{x}, \omega)^{\top} \right] \right. \\ \left. + c_{s}^{-2} c_{p}^{-2} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(-\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)^{\top} \right] \right]$$

$$\left. \left. + c_{s}^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(-\hat{x}, \omega \right)^{\top} \right] \right\} d\omega = C_{d}^{2} \widehat{A^{r}} (c_{s} \tau \hat{x}).$$

$$\left. \left. \left. + c_{s}^{-4} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(-\hat{x}, \omega \right)^{\top} \right] \right\} d\omega = C_{d}^{2} \widehat{A^{r}} (c_{s} \tau \hat{x}).$$

The above recovery formulas (6.12) and (6.13), where the expectation of the correlations between u_p^{∞} and u_s^{∞} is involved, can be improved by removing the expectation. In fact, according to (6.6)–(6.7), one can easily find that components $u_{p,j}^{\infty}$ and $u_{s,j}^{\infty}$ are both linear combinations of far-field patterns for acoustic waves given in (3.4) perturbed by random sources f_i , $i = 1, \ldots, d$, which are components of the source f. Thus, the estimates of the far-field pattern for acoustic waves given in Lemma 3.4 also hold for u_p^{∞} and u_s^{∞} . Then following the same procedure used in Theorem 3.5, we can get that the strengths A^c and A^r can be uniquely recovered from the compressional and shear far-field patterns at a single realization of the random source almost surely, which is stated in the following theorem.

THEOREM 6.2. Let f satisfy Assumption 5.1 with $m \in (d-4, d]$. Then for all $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\tau \geq 0$, it holds almost surely that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} c_{s}^{m+3-d} \omega^{m+3-d} \bigg[c_{p}^{-4} \boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)}^{\top} \\ &+ c_{s}^{-2} c_{p}^{-2} \boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} (\hat{x}, \omega)}^{\top} \\ &+ c_{s}^{-2} c_{p}^{-2} \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)}^{\top} \\ \end{split}$$
(6.14)
$$+ c_{s}^{-4} \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega \right)}^{\top} \bigg] d\omega = |C_{d}|^{2} \widehat{A^{c}} (c_{s} \tau \hat{x}), \\ \lim_{Q \to \infty} \frac{1}{Q} \int_{Q}^{2Q} c_{s}^{m+3-d} \omega^{m+3-d} \bigg[c_{p}^{-4} \boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(-\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)^{\top} \\ &+ c_{s}^{-2} c_{p}^{-2} \boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} (\omega + \tau) \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} (-\hat{x}, \omega)^{\top} \\ &+ c_{s}^{-2} c_{p}^{-2} \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{p}^{\infty} \left(-\hat{x}, c_{s} c_{p}^{-1} \omega \right)^{\top} \\ \end{cases}$$
(6.15)
$$&+ c_{s}^{-4} \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(\hat{x}, \omega + \tau \right) \boldsymbol{u}_{s}^{\infty} \left(-\hat{x}, \omega \right)^{\top} \bigg] d\omega = C_{d}^{2} \widehat{A^{r}} (c_{s} \tau \hat{x}). \end{split}$$

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Moreover, the strengths A^c and A^r can be uniquely determined by (6.14) and (6.15), respectively, with $(\tau, \hat{x}) \in \Theta$ and $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ being any open domain.

Remark 6.3. By Theorems 3.5, 4.2, 5.3, and 6.2, the strength appearing in the principal symbols of the covariance and relation operators of the random source can be uniquely determined with probability one by the high frequency limit of the far-field pattern averaged over the frequency band generated from a single path. When the GMIG random field reduces to the classical white noise, i.e., m = 0, it is possible to have the uniqueness by using a fixed frequency data (cf. [28, Corollary 4.1]). It is unclear whether the uniqueness can still be obtained for general GMIG random fields. Moreover, if the data is noisy and incomplete as it always occurs in applications, these issues are concerned with the stability of the inverse problems, which are more challenging in the random case and worth investigating in the future.

7. Conclusion. In this paper, we have discussed the direct and inverse random source problems for acoustic waves, biharmonic waves, electromagnetic waves, and elastic waves. The source is assumed to be a centered GMIG random field whose covariance and relation operators are classical pseudodifferential operators. For such a rough source, the unique solvability is achieved for a larger class of distributions compared with the existing results. The inverse problem is to recover the principal symbols of the covariance and relation operators. A relationship is established in the high frequency limit which connects the principal symbols of the covariance and relation operators are averaged over the frequency band generated from a single realization of the random source. Based on the relationship, the uniqueness of the inverse problem is obtained.

A possible continuation of this work is to study the stochastic wave equations with a random potential, where both the source and the potential are complex-valued GMIG random fields. These problems are more challenging due to the nonlinearity and coupling of the random source and potential. We hope to be able to report the progress on these problems elsewhere in the future.

REFERENCES

- G. BAL, T. KOMOROWSKI, AND L. RYZHIK, Kinetic limits for waves in a random medium, Kinet. Relat. Models, 3 (2010), pp. 529–644.
- G. BAO, C. CHEN, AND P. LI, Inverse random source scattering problems in several dimensions, SIAM/ASA J. Uncertain. Quantif., 4 (2016), pp. 1263–1287.
- [3] G. BAO, C. CHEN, AND P. LI, Inverse random source scattering for elastic waves, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 55 (2017), pp. 2616–2643.
- [4] G. BAO, P. LI, AND Y. ZHAO, Stability for the inverse source problems in elastic and electromagnetic waves, J. Math. Pures Appl., 134 (2020), pp. 122–178.
- G. BAO, Y. LIN, AND X. XU, Inverse scattering by a random periodic structure, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 58 (2020), pp. 2934–2952.
- [6] G. BAO AND X. XU, An inverse random source problem in quantifying the elastic modulus of nanomaterials, Inverse Problems, 29 (2013), 015006.
- [7] L. BORCEA, G. C. PAPANICOLAOU, C. TSOGKA, AND J. BERRYMAN, Imaging and time reversal in random media, Inverse Problems, 18 (2002), pp. 1247–1279.
- [8] P. CARO, T. HELIN, AND M. LASSAS, Inverse scattering for a random potential, Anal. Appl., 17 (2019), pp. 513–567.
- D. P. CHALLA AND M. SINI, The Foldy-Lax approximation of the scattered waves by many small bodies for the Lamé system, Math. Nachr., 288 (2015), pp. 1834–1872.
- [10] D. COLTON AND R. KRESS, Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory, 4th ed., Springer, New York, 2019.
- [11] M. DE HOOP, E. FEDRIZZI, J. GARNIER, AND K. SØLNA, Imaging with noise blending, Multiscale and high-contrast PDE: From modelling, to mathematical analysis, to inversion, Contemp. Math., 577, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 105–124.

- [12] X. FENG, J. LIN, AND D. P. NICHOLLS, An efficient Monte Carlo-transformed field expansion method for electromagnetic wave scattering by random rough surfaces, Commun. Comput. Phys., 23 (2018), pp. 685–705.
- [13] J.-P. FOUQUE, J. GARNIER, G. C. PAPANICOLAOU, AND K. SØLNA, Wave Propagation and Time Reversal in Randomly Layered Media, Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability 56, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [14] Y. GONG AND X. XU, Inverse random source problem for biharmonic equation in two dimensions, Inverse Probl. Imaging, 13 (2019), pp. 635–652.
- [15] T. HELIN, M. LASSAS, AND L. PÄIVÄRINTA, Inverse acoustic scattering problem in half-space with anisotropic random impedance, J. Differential Equations, 262 (2017), pp. 3139–3168.
 [16] R. HIPTMAIR, L. SCARABOSIO, C. SCHILLINGS, AND C. SCHWA, Large deformation shape uncer-
- tainty quantification in acoustic scattering, Adv. Comput. Math., 44 (2018), pp. 1475–1518.
- [17] J. KAIPIO AND E. SOMERSALO, Statistical and Computational Inverse Problems, Appl. Math. Sci. 160, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [18] M. LASSAS, L. PÄIVÄRINTA, AND E. SAKSMAN, Inverse scattering problem for a two dimensional random potential, Commun. Math. Phys., 279 (2008), pp. 669–703.
- [19] J. LI, T. HELIN, AND P. LI, Inverse random source problems for time-harmonic acoustic and elastic waves, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 45 (2020), pp. 1335–1380.
- [20] J. LI AND P. LI, Inverse elastic scattering for a random source, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 51 (2019), pp. 4570–4603.
- [21] J. LI, H. LIU, AND S. MA, Determining a random Schrödinger equation with unknown source and potential, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 51 (2019), pp. 3465–3491.
- [22] J. LI, H. LIU, AND S. MA, Determining a random Schrödinger operator: Both potential and source are random, Commun. Math. Phys., 381 (2021), pp. 1–30.
- [23] J. LI, P. LI, AND X. WANG, Inverse Random Potential Scattering for Elastic waves, preprint, arXiv:2102.07062, https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07062.
- [24] P. LI AND X. WANG, Inverse random source scattering for the Helmholtz equation with attenuation, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 81 (2021), pp. 485–506.
- [25] P. LI AND X. WANG, An inverse random source problem for Maxwell's equations, Multiscale Model. Simul., 19 (2021), pp. 25–45.
- [26] P. LI AND X. WANG, Regularity of distributional solutions to stochastic acoustic and elastic scattering problems, J. Differential Equations, 285 (2021), pp. 640–662.
- [27] P. LI AND X. WANG, An inverse random source problem for the biharmonic wave equation, SIAM/ASA J. Uncertain. Quantif., to appear.
- [28] P. LI AND X. WANG, An inverse random source problem for the one-dimensional Helmholtz equation with attenuation, Inverse Problems, 37 (2021), 015009.
- [29] W. MCLEAN, Strongly Elliptic Systems and Boundary Integral Equations, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [30] V. SEVROGLOU, The far-field operator for penetrable and absorbing obstacles in 2D inverse elastic scattering, Inverse Problems, 21 (2005), pp. 717–738.
- [31] T. TYNI AND M. HARJU, Inverse backscattering problem for perturbations of biharmonic operator, Inverse Problems, 33 (2017), 105002.
- [32] T. TYNI AND V. SEROV, Scattering problems for perturbations of the multidimensional biharmonic operator, Inverse Probl. Imaging, 12 (2018), pp. 205–227.