

Section 04. FEM and Algebraic Representations

Weak Form of Poisson's Equation

The linear operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{V} \mapsto \mathcal{V}'$ (for example, $-\Delta$) is defined by

$$(\mathcal{A}u, v) := a[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$$

and $f \in \mathcal{V}'$ is a function or distribution. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is **bounded**, i.e.,

$$a[u, v] \leq C_a \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{V}$$

and **coercive**, i.e.,

$$a[v, v] \geq \alpha \|v\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

We would like to find $u \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathcal{A}u = f$ or in the weak form

$$a[u, v] = \langle f, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad \implies \quad \text{Well-posed} \quad (22)$$

Hence, $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) = \|\mathcal{A}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}; \mathcal{V}')} \|\mathcal{A}^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V})} \leq C_a/\alpha \quad \implies \quad \text{Well-conditioned}$

However, the problem here lies in that we are working on two different spaces \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}' .
 Question: If we consider $-\Delta : L^2(\Omega) \mapsto L^2(\Omega)$ instead, do we lost boundedness?



Linear Lagrange Finite Element Method

The weak formulation of the model equation can be written as: Find $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx = \int_{\Omega} f v dx, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_k(\tau)$ be the space of all polynomials of degree less than or equal to k on τ . Let

$$V = V_h := \{v \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : v \in \mathcal{P}_1(x_{i-1}, x_i), v(0) = v(1) = 0\}.$$

Now we can write the discrete variational problem as: Find $u_h \in V_h$, such that

$$a[u_h, v_h] = (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Furthermore, we use nodal basis functions $\phi_i \in V_h$, i.e. $\phi_i(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. In this way, we can express a given function $u_h \in V_h$ as $u_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N u_j \phi_j(x)$. Hence we arrive at the following equation:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N a[\phi_j, \phi_i] u_j = (f, \phi_i) \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{j=1}^N A_{i,j} u_j = f_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

This is a system of algebraic linear equations

$$A \vec{u} = \vec{f}, \tag{23}$$

with $(A)_{i,j} = a_{i,j} := a[\phi_i, \phi_j]$, $\vec{u} := (u_i)_{i=1}^N$, and $\vec{f} = (f_i)_{i=1}^N := (\langle f, \phi_i \rangle)_{i=1}^N$.



Galerkin Approximation

Approximate space: Replaces the underlying function space by appropriate finite dimensional subspaces. We choose a finite dimensional space $V = V_N$ with finite dimension $\dim(V_N) = N$ to approximate \mathcal{V} .

Galerkin method: Then we arrive at the Galerkin discretization:

$$\text{Find } u_N \in V : \quad a[u_N, v_N] = \langle f, v_N \rangle, \quad \forall v_N \in V. \quad (24)$$

Equation (24) yields the so-called **Galerkin discretization**. If the bilinear form $a[\cdot, \cdot]$ is symmetric and coercive, it is called the Ritz–Galerkin discretization.

Conforming discretizations: If the bilinear form $a[\cdot, \cdot]$ is coercive, then we have

$$a[v_N, v_N] \geq \alpha_N \|v_N\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2, \quad \forall v_N \in V \subset \mathcal{V}.$$

Since coercivity is inherited from \mathcal{V} to its subspace V , we can see that the constant α_N is **bounded from below**, i.e., $\alpha_N \geq \alpha$, $\forall N$. The bilinear form $a[\cdot, \cdot]$ is well-defined on $V \times V$.



Finite Elements

Definition (Finite element)

A triple $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ is called a finite element if and only if

- (i) **Element domain:** $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded closed set with nonempty interior and piecewise smooth boundary;
- (ii) **Shape functions:** \mathcal{P} be a finite-dimensional space of functions on K ;
- (iii) **Set of nodal variables:** $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_k\}$ be a basis of \mathcal{P}' .

Problem (Finite element discretization)

Let $V_h \subset \mathcal{V}$ be the space of continuous piecewise polynomials over a quasi-uniform conforming mesh \mathcal{M}_h , i.e., $V_h := \{v \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : v|_{\tau} \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau}, \text{ for all } \tau \in \mathcal{M}_h\} \cap \mathcal{V}$. Find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$a[u_h, v_h] = \langle f, v_h \rangle, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \quad (25)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathcal{A}_h u_h = f_h. \quad (26)$$

Properties of Finite Element Space

Proposition (Interpolation error)

Let \mathcal{M}_h be a uniform mesh and V_h be a C^α ($\alpha \geq 0$) finite element space on \mathcal{M}_h . The interpolant $\mathcal{J}_h : W_p^m(\Omega) \mapsto V_h$ satisfies

$$\|v - \mathcal{J}_h v\|_{W_p^k(\Omega)} \lesssim h^{m-k} \|v\|_{W_p^m(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in W_p^m(\Omega), \quad 0 \leq k \leq \min\{m, \alpha + 1\}.$$

Proposition (Inverse estimate)

Let \mathcal{M}_h be a uniform mesh and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq W_p^k(K) \cap W_q^m(K)$ and $0 \leq m \leq k$. If V_h is a finite element space for $(K, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{N})$ on \mathcal{M}_h , then we have

$$\left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_h} \|v\|_{W_p^k(\tau)}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim h^{m-k+\min\{0, \frac{d}{p} - \frac{d}{q}\}} \left(\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_h} \|v\|_{W_q^m(\tau)}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall v \in V_h.$$



Some Important Inequalities

Proposition (Some useful inverse estimates)

For any $v \in V_h$, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \lesssim h^{-\frac{d}{p}} \|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, & p \in [1, \infty); \\ \|v\|_{H^s(\Omega)} \lesssim h^{-s} \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, & s \in [0, 1]; \\ \|v\|_{H^{1+\alpha}(\Omega)} \lesssim h^{-\alpha} \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, & \alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}). \end{array} \right.$$

Proposition (Discrete Sobolev inequality)

The following inequality holds

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \lesssim C_d(h) \|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}, \quad \forall v \in V_h,$$

where $C_1(h) \equiv 1$, $C_2(h) = |\log h|^{1/2}$, and $C_3(h) = h^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.



Simultaneous Estimate

Proposition (Weighted estimate for L^2 projection)

Define $\mathcal{Q}_h : L^2(\Omega) \mapsto V_h$ by, for any $v \in L^2(\Omega)$, it holds that

$$(\mathcal{Q}_h v, w) = (v, w), \quad \forall w \in V_h.$$

Then we have the following weighted L^2 -estimate

$$\|v - \mathcal{Q}_h v\|_0 + h \|\mathcal{Q}_h v\|_1 \lesssim h \|v\|_1, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Remark (Simultaneous estimate)

From the above weighted L^2 -estimate, we can easily show the so-called simultaneous estimate

$$\inf_{w \in V_h} \left(\|v - w\|_0 + h \|v - w\|_1 \right) \lesssim h \|v\|_1, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Condition of FE Matrices

Remark (Spectral radius and condition number of \mathcal{A}_h)

Suppose that we have a uniform partition with meshsize h . It is clear, from the Poincaré inequality and the inverse inequality, that

$$\|v\|_0^2 \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_0^2 = (\mathcal{A}_h v, v) \leq \|v\|_1^2 \lesssim h^{-2} \|v\|_0^2, \quad \forall v \in V_h.$$

In fact, we have $\rho(\mathcal{A}_h) \cong h^{-2}$ and $\kappa(\mathcal{A}_h) \cong h^{-2}$.

We lost boundedness! The condition number of the discrete operator is not uniformly bounded.

- The key point is the norm used in the above estimates.
- This means the discrete finite element problems are difficult to solve if the mesh size is small.
- Other factors, like diffusion coefficients, will also contribute to ill-condition.
- Reminder: We want to construct a SPD matrix \mathcal{B}_h such that

$$\mu_0(\mathcal{A}_h v, v) \leq (\mathcal{B}_h^{-1} v, v) \leq \mu_1(\mathcal{A}_h v, v), \quad \forall v \in V.$$



Vector Representations

Assume that $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1,\dots,N}$ is a basis of V . Any function $v \in V$ can be represented as

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^N \underline{v}_i \phi_i$$

and the vector representation (coefficient vector) of v is defined as

$$\text{primal representation } \underline{v} := \begin{pmatrix} \underline{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{v}_N \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (27)$$

There is another natural and easier-to-compute vector representation

$$\text{dual representation } \vec{v} := \begin{pmatrix} (v, \phi_1) \\ \vdots \\ (v, \phi_N) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v} = M \underline{v}, \quad (28)$$

where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ with $M_{i,j} := (\phi_i, \phi_j)$ is the mass matrix. Apparently, we have

$$(\underline{u}, \vec{v}) \equiv (\underline{u}, \vec{v})_{l_2} = \underline{u}^T M \underline{v} = (u, v)_V.$$



Matrix Representations

Let W be a linear space with basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1,\dots,N'}$. For any $\mathcal{A} : V \mapsto W$, we give its primal representation, $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N' \times N}$, s.t. $\sum_{i=1}^{N'} (\underline{\mathcal{A}})_{i,j} \psi_i = \mathcal{A}\phi_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$):

$$(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N'}) \underline{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N) = (\mathcal{A}\phi_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}\phi_N). \quad (29)$$

The dual representation for $\mathcal{A} : V \mapsto V$ is denoted by $(\hat{\mathcal{A}})_{i,j} := (\mathcal{A}\phi_j, \phi_i)$. \Leftarrow the stiffness matrix

Lemma (Simple relations between matrix representations)

If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : V \mapsto V$ and $v, u \in V$, we have the following results:

- ① $\underline{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \underline{\mathcal{A}}\underline{\mathcal{B}}$;
- ② $\underline{\mathcal{A}}v = \underline{\mathcal{A}}\underline{v}$;
- ③ $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma(\underline{\mathcal{A}})$, $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) = \kappa(\underline{\mathcal{A}})$;
- ④ $\vec{v} = M\underline{v}$, $\vec{\mathcal{A}v} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}\underline{v}$;
- ⑤ $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = M\underline{\mathcal{A}}$;
- ⑥ $(u, v) = (M\underline{u}, \underline{v})$.



Example: Finite Element Matrices

Setting for finite element methods:

- $V = V_h$ is the piecewise linear finite element space.
- $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1,\dots,N}$ are the basis functions for FEM.
- Let A be the coefficient matrix $(A)_{i,j} = a_{i,j} := a[\phi_i, \phi_j]$.
- By definition, $A = \hat{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is the stiffness matrix corresponding to \mathcal{A} .
- Let $\underline{u} = (u_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be the vector of coefficients of u_h , namely \underline{u}_h .
- Let $\vec{f} = (f_i)_{i=1}^N := \{\langle f, \phi_i \rangle\}_{i=1}^N$.

Linear algebraic system for finite element discretization of $\mathcal{A}u = f$:

$$\hat{A}\underline{u} = \vec{f} \quad \text{or} \quad A\underline{u} = \vec{f}. \quad (\text{We have been abusing notation!})$$

Upon solving this linear system, we obtain a discrete approximation

$$u_h = \sum_{i=1}^N \underline{u}_i \phi_i.$$

Condition of FE Matrices, Revisited

Spectral radius and condition number of \mathcal{A}_h : Suppose that mesh is uniform with meshsize h . From the Poincaré inequality and the inverse inequality, that

$$\|v\|_0^2 \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_0^2 = (\mathcal{A}_h v, v) \leq \|v\|_1^2 \lesssim h^{-2} \|v\|_0^2, \quad \forall v \in V_h.$$

Hence, we have $\rho(\mathcal{A}_h) \cong h^{-2}$ and $\kappa(\mathcal{A}_h) \cong h^{-2}$.

Spectrum of mass matrix: The mass matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $M_{i,j} = (\phi_i, \phi_j)$, satisfies

$$(M \underline{v}, \underline{v}) = \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j (\phi_i, \phi_j) = (v, v) = \int_{\Omega} v^2(x) dx \cong h^d \sum_i v_i^2 \cong h^d (\underline{v}, \underline{v}).$$

It is well-known that the mass matrix is SPD and well-conditioned, i.e.,

$$h^d \|\xi\|_0^2 \lesssim \xi^T M \xi \lesssim h^d \|\xi\|_0^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Spectrum of stiffness matrix: The stiffness matrix A is SPD and

$$h^d \|\xi\|_0^2 \lesssim \xi^T A \xi \lesssim h^{d-2} \|\xi\|_0^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Hence the spectral radius $\rho(A) \cong h^{d-2}$ and the condition number $\kappa(A) \cong h^{-2}$.

Richardson Method, Revisited

Consider solving the \mathcal{P}_1 -Lagrange finite element system for the Poisson's equation:

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}\underline{u} = \underline{\vec{f}}.$$

The simplest iterative solver is the well-known Richardson method:

$$\underline{u}^{\text{new}} = \underline{u}^{\text{old}} + \omega(\underline{\vec{f}} - \hat{\mathcal{A}}\underline{u}^{\text{old}}).$$

It is equivalent to

$$\underline{u}^{\text{new}} = \underline{u}^{\text{old}} + \omega(M\underline{\vec{f}} - M\underline{\mathcal{A}}\underline{u}^{\text{old}}) = \underline{u}^{\text{old}} + \omega M(\underline{\vec{f}} - \underline{\mathcal{A}}\underline{u}^{\text{old}}).$$

That is to say, the Richardson method, can be written in the operator form as

$$u^{\text{new}} = u^{\text{old}} + \mathcal{B}_\omega(f - \mathcal{A}u^{\text{old}})$$

with an iterator \mathcal{B}_ω , whose matrix representation is $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_\omega = \omega M$.

The operator form of the Richardson method is

$$\mathcal{B}_\omega v := \omega \sum_{i=1}^N (v, \phi_i) \phi_i, \quad \forall v \in V.$$